
# Free & Fair Election How to design and measure?

Assoc. Prof. Somchai Srisutthiyakorn

# System Model



Law

EC.

**Election Process** 

**Political Parties** 

Candidates

**Civil Organizations** 

Voters

Voter turnout


Effective

Efficiency

Fraud evidences

Free & Fair Election Voter Satisfaction

## System Model



#### Leading Indicator:

 An indicator of performance that might predict future success.

#### **Examples:**

- User guide usage
- Calories per day
- Using safety equipment



#### **Lagging Indicator:**

 An indicator of past performance that measures how we performed.

#### **Examples:**


- Customer satisfaction
- Weight
- Number of deaths

https://www.intrafocus.com/lead-and-lag-indicators/

## Appropriated Proportion between Lead & Lag Indicators








Lead > Lag

For Young Organization



Lag > Lead
For Old Organization






Formed: 1997

Present: 2021

= 24 Years

= Old & Established Organization

# System Model



	Macro Domain					
Input	1. Increased number of external stakeholders involved in IEC performance monitoring					
	2. Increased number of gender equality among candidates running for office					
	3. Increased state subsidy of campaign activities					
	4. Increased access to media of election-related information and activities					
	5. Increased access to media resources and exposure of all political parties and candidates					
	6. Increased promotion of ethical use of social media					
	7. Increased number of think tanks engaged in election research					
	8. Increased number of election forums conducted by relevant academic institutions					
Process	1. Increased monitoring and vetting of information posted on social media					
Output	1. Increased voter turnout					
	2. Lower incidence of election related violence					
	3Number of actual reports from political parties on platforms and activities					
Outcome	1. Increased capacity of IEC and courts to check and hold accountable political parties and candidates violating campaign financing rules and regulations					

	Meso Domain					
Input	1.Percentage of voters able to identify candidates and political parties and associate them with election issues and positions on these issues					
Process						
Output	1. Percentage of votes cast that are properly counted					
	2. Number of separate monitoring and evaluation reports by independent international observers that the elections were honest, orderly, and peaceful					
	3. Percentage of eligible voters who are registered to vote before the elections					
Outcome	1. Percentage of disputes expeditiously and fairly resolved					
	2. Percentage of voters (candidates and political parties) satisfied with the election laws, procedures, and result					
	3. Percentage of votes transparently and properly canvassed					


	Micro Domain
Input	
Process	1. Ballots will be pre-printed with numbers of candidates and names of political parties for specific localities
	2. Update on the steps being taken to refine and finalize the General Instructions, taking into account comments from stakeholders
	3. Update on the plan for this required stakeholder education and training program
	4. Update on the development of the continuity plan and how this plan shall be implemented
	5. Already defined the appropriate mechanisms for addressing and resolving such protests
Output	1. Increase of audit coverage to up to 15 % of all precincts,
Outcome	

	Macro	Meso	Micro	Total		%
Input	8	1	_	9	15	57.7 %
Process	1	-	5	6		
Output	3	3	1	7	11	42.3 %
Outcome	1	3	-	4		
Total				26	26	

## Appropriated Proportion between Lead & Lag Indicators








Lead > Lag





Lag > Lead

For Old Organization






Formed: 1997

Present: 2021

= 24 Years

= Old & Established Organization





## Recommendations

- Increase number of Lag Indicators
- Decrease number of Lead Indicators
- Percentage Weight Lag > Lead
- Rethink non important indicators (No effect)